

DGOVERNMENT  
OF  
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

SPECIAL MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY

SEPTEMBER 27, 2010

+ + + + +

The Special Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001, pursuant to notice at 6:30 p.m., Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairman  
KONRAD SCHLATER, Vice Chairman  
MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC)  
GREG SELFRIDGE, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary  
DONNA HANOUSEK, Zoning Specialist  
ESTHER BUSHMAN, General Counsel  
JAMISON WEINBAUM, Director

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Board of Zoning Adjustment  
District of Columbia  
CASE NO. Transcript  
EXHIBIT NO. null

JENNIFER STEINGASSER  
STEVE COCHRAN  
ARLOVA JACKSON  
JOEL LAWSON  
KAREN THOMAS

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

ALAN H. BERGSTEIN, ESQ.

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Special Meeting held on September 27, 2010.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

AGENDA ITEM

PAGE

**CALL TO ORDER, Anthony J. Hood.....5**

**FINAL ACTION**

Z.C. Case No. 03-12K/03-13K (Square 769, LLC & DCHA - Two-Year PUD Time Extension @ Square 769) .....6

Z.C. Case No. 04-33 (Office of Planning Inclusionary Zoning: Technical Correction to 2604.1 .....9

Z.C. Case No. 10-04 (Office of Zoning - Text Amendment re: ANC Notification).11

Z.C. Case No. 10-05 (Office of Planning - Zoning Consistency Map Amendment in Wards 7 and 8) .....13

Z.C. Case No. 10-08 (Office of Planning - Expiration of Special Exceptions and Non-Conforming Uses and Effective Date of Special Exception Uses for Which a Term has been Established) .....14

Z.C. Case No. 10-09 (Office of Zoning - Text Amendment to Chapter 30 to Increase Zoning Commission Fees) .....20

Z.C. Case No. 10-10 (Office of Zoning - Text Amendment to Chapter 31 to Increase Board of Zoning Adjustment Fees) .....20

Z.C. Case No. 97-16A (The Lowell School - PUD Modification @ Square 2735F) .....22

Z.C. Case No. 10-12 (Tiber Creek Associates - CG Overlay Review @ Square 601) .....28

**PROPOSED ACTION**

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Z.C. Case No. 10-03 (Parcel Seven Associates - Consolidated PUD @ Square 912)..... 32

**HEARING ACTION**

Z.C. Case No. 10-22 (Office of Planning - Map & Test Amendment to 350.4 to Allow the Expansion of an Ice Rink and Construction of a Youth Baseball Academy in Ft. Dupont Park) ..... 64

**Adjourn**, Chairman Hood..... 75

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 6:38 p.m.

3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: We're going to go  
4 ahead and get started. This meeting will  
5 please come to order. Good evening, ladies  
6 and gentlemen. This is September 27, 2010  
7 public meeting of the Zoning Commission,  
8 District of Columbia. My name is Anthony  
9 Hood.

10 Joining me are Vice-Chairman  
11 Schlater, Commissioners Selfridge and  
12 Turnbull. We are also joined by the Office of  
13 Zoning staff under the leadership of Director  
14 Weinbaum, Ms. Sharon Schellin, Donna Hanousek,  
15 and Ms. Esther Bushman. Also Office of  
16 Attorney General Mr. Alan Bergstein, Office of  
17 Planning Mrs. Steingasser, Mr. Lawson, Mr.  
18 Cochran, and Ms. Thomas.

19 Copies of today's meeting are  
20 available to you and are located in the bin  
21 near the door. We do not take any public  
22 testimony at our meetings unless the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Commission request someone come forward.

2 Please be advised that this  
3 proceeding is being recorded by a court  
4 reporter and is also webcast live.  
5 Accordingly, we must ask you to refrain from  
6 any disruptive noises or actions in the  
7 hearing room. Please turn off all beepers and  
8 cell phones.

9 Does the staff have any preliminary  
10 matters?

11 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's go  
13 right into final action. Z.C. Case No. 03-  
14 12K/03-13K (Square 769, LLC & DCHA, Two-Year  
15 PUD Time Extension at Square 769). Let me go  
16 to Vice Chairman Schlater.

17 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Mr. Chairman,  
18 I'm going to have to recuse myself from this  
19 case because my employer is the applicant.

20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms.  
21 Schellin.

22 MS. SCHELLIN: Staff would just add

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that, as you said, this is a request for a  
2 two-year PUD time extension. The Office of  
3 Planning has submitted a report at Exhibit 5  
4 supporting this request. As well as at  
5 Exhibit 6 there is a report from ANC-6D  
6 supporting the request.

7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you,  
8 Ms. Schellin.

9 Commissioners, if you look at the  
10 applicant's submission, if you particularly  
11 pay close attention to page 5, page 5 outlines  
12 the history and also talks about the need and  
13 the process of trying to get a lender and the  
14 success or the lack thereof of success of  
15 being able to get someone to fund the site.

16 If you look at the bottom it says  
17 essentially, "To enable the applicant to  
18 continue his diligent efforts to secure the  
19 necessary project financing. Moreover, there  
20 has been no substantial change." And it goes  
21 on to say that, "Upon the facts in which the  
22 Zoning Commission first decided this case." I

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 think that's pretty straight forward.

2 Also it concurs with the -- I'm  
3 sorry, Exhibit No. 5. As well as it has  
4 support, as Ms. Schellin has already  
5 mentioned, in Exhibit No. 6 from the Advisory  
6 Neighborhood Commission from Chairman Ron  
7 McBee.

8 With the facts in front of us, I  
9 would move that we approve the two-year time  
10 extension and I would ask for a second.

11 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and  
13 properly seconded. I want to give my  
14 colleagues time for discussion. Any further  
15 discussion? Are you ready for the question?  
16 All those in favor, aye.

17 ALL: Aye.

18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any  
19 opposition of those who are present, Ms.  
20 Schellin, would you please record the vote.

21 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. I would  
22 record an absentee ballot for Commissioner

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 May. The staff will record the vote four to  
2 zero to one to approve the two-year time  
3 extension in Zoning Commission Case No. 03-  
4 12K/03-13K. Commissioner Hood moving,  
5 Commissioner Turnbull seconding, Commissioner  
6 Selfridge in support, Commissioner May in  
7 support by absentee ballot, Commissioner  
8 Schlater not voting having recused himself.

9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you  
10 very much, Ms. Schellin.

11 Next we have Z.C. Case No. 04-33  
12 (Office of Planning - Inclusionary Zoning:  
13 Technical Correction to 2604.1.

14 Ms. Schellin.

15 MS. SCHELLIN: The staff has  
16 nothing further to add to this case other than  
17 to ask that the Commission consider it for  
18 final action.

19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Again,  
20 Commissioners, this is stated in the draft as  
21 the amendments are purely technical in nature.  
22 It eliminates the redundancy referenced to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the Height Act. I think that is pretty  
2 straightforward. Unless my colleagues have  
3 anything else to add, I would move that we  
4 approve Zoning Commission Case No. 04-33,  
5 Office of Planning - Inclusionary Zoning:  
6 Technical Correction to 2604.1. I ask for a  
7 second.

8 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Second.

9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's moved and  
10 properly seconded. Any further discussion?  
11 Are you ready for the question? All those in  
12 favor, aye.

13 ALL: Aye.

14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any  
15 opposition from those present, Ms. Schellin,  
16 would you record the vote?

17 MS. SCHELLIN: Staff would advise  
18 that we have an absentee ballot again from  
19 Commissioner May and just remind the  
20 Commissioners that Commissioner Selfridge did  
21 not participate in this case so the vote would  
22 be recorded as four to zero to one to approve

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 final action in Zoning Commission Case No. 04-  
2 33. Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner  
3 Schlater seconding, Commissioner Turnbull in  
4 support, Commissioner May in support by  
5 absentee ballot and Commissioner Selfridge not  
6 voting having not participated.

7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms.  
8 Schellin.

9 Next Zoning Commission Case 10-04,  
10 Office of Zoning Text Amendment, ANC  
11 notifications.

12 MS. SCHELLIN: Nothing further to  
13 add.

14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Again, I  
15 think the Director in the Office of Zoning,  
16 and I think Office of Planning also, we have  
17 elaborated a lot on this and had discussions  
18 on how notification is now going to be  
19 provided if the ANC so wish. What I would  
20 like to do is move approval of Zoning  
21 Commission Case No. 10-04 and ask for a  
22 second.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.

2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Moved and properly  
3 seconded. Any further discussion? Are you  
4 ready for the question? All those in favor,  
5 aye.

6 ALL: Aye.

7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any  
8 opposition, so ordered. Staff, would you  
9 record the vote.

10 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. I have an  
11 absentee ballot from Commissioner May and,  
12 again, Commissioner Selfridge was not present  
13 to participate in this case so staff would  
14 record the vote four to zero to one,  
15 Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner  
16 Turnbull seconding, Commissioner Schlater in  
17 support, Commissioner May in support by  
18 absentee ballot. Again, Commissioner  
19 Selfridge not voting having not participated.

20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you,  
21 Ms. Schellin.

22 Next we have Z.C. Case No. 10-05

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 (Office of Planning - Zoning Consistency Map  
2 Amendment in Wards 7 and 8.).

3 Ms. Schellin.

4 MS. SCHELLIN: In this case we did  
5 receive a report from NCPC at Exhibit 11.  
6 They had no issues with this case. Just as a  
7 reminder, both Commissioners May and Selfridge  
8 did not participate in this case.

9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.  
10 Commissioners, any comments? Okay. Would  
11 someone like to make a motion? I know you're  
12 all tired.

13 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Mr. Chairman,  
14 I would like to move that we approve Zoning  
15 Case 10-05, Office of Planning Zoning  
16 Consistency Map Amendment in Wards 7 and 8.

17 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.

18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been  
19 moved and properly seconded. Any further  
20 discussion? Are you ready for the question?  
21 All those in favor, aye.

22 ALL: Aye.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any  
2 opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please  
3 record the vote.

4 MS. SCHELLIN: Staff would record  
5 the vote three to zero to two to approve final  
6 action in Zoning Commission Case No. 10-05.  
7 Commissioner Schlater moving, Commissioner  
8 Turnbull seconding, Commissioner Hood in  
9 support, Commissioners May and Selfridge not  
10 voting having not participated.

11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Next Zoning  
12 Commission Case No. 10-08, Office of Planning  
13 - Expiration of Special Exceptions and Non-  
14 Conforming Uses and Effective Date of Special  
15 Exception Uses for Which a Term has been  
16 Established)

17 Ms. Schellin.

18 MS. SCHELLIN: Sir, in this case we  
19 also received a report from NCPC at Exhibit 8  
20 and, once again, they had no issues with this  
21 case.

22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let me open

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 it up -- thank you, Ms. Schellin. Let me open  
2 it up for any comments on this case.  
3 Commissioners, any comments?

4 Vice Chairman.

5 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Mr. Chairman,  
6 I just want to call your attention to Exhibit  
7 No. 10 in this case which is a letter from  
8 DeCaro and Howell asking us to delay  
9 consideration on this and hold another  
10 hearing.

11 I think the summary of what's in  
12 the letter is that Mr. Decaro's client Koo  
13 Yuen recently had a case in front of the BZA  
14 in which he had appealed a Zoning  
15 Administrator decision on the applicability of  
16 a special exception claiming that it had not  
17 expired even though the use had expired for  
18 over three years.

19 BZA agreed with him on that ruling.

20 The question before us is whether the  
21 language that we're set to approve tonight  
22 would somehow be retroactive. In fact, Koo

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Yuen, and make it impossible for him to  
2 develop the gas station that he was trying to  
3 develop.

4 I think we also -- I think we  
5 should ask OAG given the language that we have  
6 before us are the concerns that are outlined  
7 in this letter is the text amendment  
8 premature? Should we be worried that this is  
9 going to be retroactive?

10 MR. BERGSTEIN: Let me answer the  
11 latter question. Zoning Commission rules,  
12 like any District agency rules, cannot be  
13 retroactive. The definition of a rule in the  
14 D.C. Administrative Procedure Act is an ABC  
15 statement of general particular applicability  
16 and future affect.

17 Zoning Commission rules never  
18 relate back to anything prior to their  
19 effective date and that would include in the  
20 case of these rules the issuance of an  
21 intervening certificate of occupancy for a  
22 different use other than the special exception

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 for a period of three years in which the use  
2 was discontinued.

3 Those two types of events would  
4 only be relevant after the effective date of  
5 the rules. However, I have suggested stating  
6 that explicitly and I've provided language for  
7 doing that.

8 In terms of whether or not it's  
9 premature, the notion is that somehow the  
10 Zoning Commission has to wait until a BZA  
11 order comes out before it can take action to  
12 correct or to alter regulations in a manner  
13 different than the BZA rule.

14 There is no reason why the Zoning  
15 Commission can't act quickly when a BZA ruling  
16 is of concern to it where it doesn't want to  
17 do a sua sponte and actually reverse the BZA  
18 rule in which case you do have to wait for the  
19 final decision. In this case the Zoning  
20 Commission is simply reacting to a request of  
21 the Zoning Administrator that was prompted by  
22 the BZA ruling.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           The action is perspective and not  
2 retroactive and, therefore, there is no reason  
3 to wait for the BZA order to come out which  
4 would relate to the existing dispute or prior  
5 dispute if that makes any sense to you. It's  
6 not premature. You're acting exactly as you  
7 are allowed to act in a rulemaking.

8           VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: I think I  
9 would support making those amendments to the  
10 text amendment just making it clear that the  
11 action isn't applied retroactively. As a  
12 policy matter I think it is -- I support what  
13 we're trying to do with this language in terms  
14 of the expiring use on a special exception.

15           I think people should have to come  
16 back if that use is discontinued for three or  
17 more years so I'm going to be supporting the  
18 text amendment tonight with the amendments  
19 provided by OAG.

20           CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other comments?

21           COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I would  
22 concur with the Vice Chair's comments,.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I, too, would agree  
2 with the Vice Chair. Any other comments?  
3 Maybe I'll just come to the Vice Chair. Would  
4 you like to make a motion?

5 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Mr. Chairman,  
6 I move that the Zoning Commission approve  
7 Zoning Commission Case 10-08, Office of  
8 Planning Expiration of Special Exceptions and  
9 Non-Conforming Uses and Effective Date of  
10 Special Exception Uses for Which a Term has  
11 been Established as amended by OAG in its memo  
12 to us.

13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I second that.  
14 Also, I'll just mention that the NCPC says  
15 clarified language related to regulation non-  
16 conforming use special exceptions will not be  
17 inconsistent with the comprehensive plan nor  
18 would it adversely affect any of the federal  
19 interest. That's our Exhibit 8. We have a  
20 motion by Vice Chairman Schlater, seconded by  
21 myself. All those in favor, aye.

22 ALL: Aye.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition?  
2 Hearing none. Ms. Schellin, would you please  
3 record the vote.

4 MS. SCHELLIN: The staff would  
5 record the vote as four to zero to one.  
6 Commissioner May did submit an absentee ballot  
7 and Commissioner Selfridge did not participate  
8 in this case. Commissioner Hood seconding,  
9 Commissioner Turnbull in support, Commissioner  
10 May in support by absentee ballot.  
11 Commissioner Selfridge not voting having not  
12 participated.

13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next I would  
14 like, if my colleagues don't mind, move these  
15 next two in block, Zoning Commission Case No.  
16 10-09, which is the Text Amendment to Chapter  
17 30 to Increase Zoning Commission Fees, and  
18 also Zoning Commission Case No. 10-10, Office  
19 of Zoning Text Amendment to Chapter 31 to  
20 Increase Board of Zoning Adjustment Fees.

21 Ms. Schellin.

22 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff has no

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 further information to add on these two and  
2 would advise that Commissioner May did not  
3 participate in either of these cases.

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. I think  
5 Director Weinbaum when we had the hearing made  
6 a very compelling case. Actually, the way I  
7 took it it's long overdue for this  
8 jurisdiction to move forward in that fashion.

9 I think we have plenty of support. I  
10 think it's just the right thing to do  
11 especially at this time when you look at other  
12 jurisdictions which his report detailed. I  
13 would be in favor of moving this motion. I  
14 know I didn't open up discussion but I'm sure  
15 -- I'm hoping we all are in favor. I'm going  
16 to take a chance and move that we approve  
17 Zoning Commission Case No. 10-09 and also 10-  
18 10 and ask for a second.

19 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.

20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and  
21 properly seconded. Any further discussion?  
22 Any further discussion? All those in favor,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 aye.

2 ALL: Aye.

3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any  
4 opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you record the  
5 vote?

6 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff would  
7 record the vote four to zero to one to approve  
8 Zoning Commission Case Nos. 10-09 and 10-10  
9 for final action. Commissioner Hood moving,  
10 Commissioner Turnbull seconding, Commissioner  
11 Schlater and Selfridge in support,  
12 Commissioner May not voting having not  
13 participated.

14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's move  
15 right along. Zoning Commission Case No. 97-  
16 16A. This is the Lowell School PUD  
17 Modification at Square 2745F.

18 Ms. Schellin.

19 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. In this  
20 case we did receive the NCPC report at Exhibit  
21 53. They have no issues of this case. There  
22 were some additional information that the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Commission requested and the applicant did  
2 provide that. The ANC also provided a  
3 response.

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

5 Commissioners, we have a number of  
6 submissions. Exhibit 49, 50, and 51, Exhibit  
7 52. As Ms. Schellin already mentioned, 52 is  
8 the ANC report and also the NCPC report, no  
9 adverse impacts.

10 Okay. Let's open it up for  
11 discussion because I'm not sure what we all  
12 asked for but let's open it up and see if  
13 there are any comments, any submissions that  
14 we received at this time.

15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair,  
16 I think one of the biggest issues was the  
17 parking structure. I think we had a total of  
18 three options presented and I think there were  
19 two of them that we allowed them that they  
20 could use either one. To me that is the  
21 biggest issue that I can remember that we had.

22 There was a concern about the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 neighbors to the one side and how much space  
2 that you would give them. There was concern  
3 about playing, light, and noise on that field.

4 I think the options were that we had looked  
5 at were fine. I can't recall which ones. We  
6 didn't want the original one. I think it was  
7 just the big deck.

8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: That was No. 1,  
9 right?

10 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right. I  
11 believe that was.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Two and three, I  
13 think, we would give them the flexibility to  
14 go either with option two or three I believe.

15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I think  
16 you're correct.

17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think it's two  
18 and three. It's two and three? Okay. Why  
19 don't we do this. If it's not two and three,  
20 but I'm being told it is two and three, unless  
21 somebody has an objection, I think we decided  
22 on that, I though, that night that we would go

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to options two and three and not option one.

2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.

3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right.

4 Let's take our time and make sure we hit  
5 everything. Which ANC? Is this ANC-4A? This  
6 is 4A. I have the letter in front of me. I  
7 think we fleshed a lot of this out and I'm  
8 looking here at the ANC's letter to see if  
9 anything had risen that was different. I  
10 think it was a revised plan.

11 Once we had the hearing there were  
12 a lot of concerns, a lot of issues, pedestrian  
13 safety. I think the applicant has really  
14 strived to try to meet the needs or, at least,  
15 come half way to meet the needs or some of the  
16 concerns, I believe, of that particular area.

17 Let me open it up and see if there is  
18 anything else. Commissioners?

19 Also, let me just mention this is  
20 behind one of the exhibits. We also talk  
21 about the benefits and the amenities, the  
22 traffic management plan. It goes into detail

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 on the construction management plan,  
2 environmental design, first source agreement,  
3 the CBEs, Certified Business Enterprises. It  
4 also has proposal of the conditions of the  
5 construction management plan. Anything else?

6 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Mr. Chairman,  
7 I would like to move that the Zoning  
8 Commission approve Zoning Commission Case 97-  
9 16A, the Lowell School PUD Modification at  
10 Square 2745F.

11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll second it. It  
12 has been moved and properly seconded. Any  
13 further discussion? The only thing I would  
14 just add is that I know there are some  
15 technical corrections and things that need to  
16 be arranged. I would just like to give the  
17 Office of the Attorney General the flexibility  
18 to be able to do that so authorized by us.  
19 Would you accept that, Vice Chairman?

20 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Yes.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been  
22 moved and seconded. Any further discussion?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Any further discussion? Are you ready for the  
2 question? All those in favor, aye.

3 ALL: Aye.

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any  
5 opposition from those that are participating,  
6 Ms. Schellin, could you please record the  
7 vote?

8 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. I have an  
9 absentee ballot from Commissioner May and  
10 Commissioner Selfridge did not participate in  
11 this case so the vote would be four to zero to  
12 one to approve final action of Zoning  
13 Commission Case No. 97-16A. Commissioner  
14 Schlater moving, Commissioner Hood seconding,  
15 Commissioner Turnbull in support, Commissioner  
16 May in support by absentee ballot,  
17 Commissioner Selfridge not voting having not  
18 participated.

19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Next is Zoning  
20 Commission Case No. 10-12, Tiber Creek  
21 Associates - Capitol Gateway Overlay Review at  
22 Square 601. Ms. Schellin.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. I would  
2 just add in addition to the additional  
3 information that was requested by the  
4 Commission and provided by the applicant that  
5 the applicant has made a request that the  
6 Commission allow it to provide one complete  
7 set of plans if the Commission would reopen  
8 the record to allow the applicant to do that.

9 I believe they have the plans with them this  
10 evening and would hand those in if the  
11 Commission would allow them to do so.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's open it up  
13 for discussion. I think, Commissioners, that  
14 would put it all in one place for us. I think  
15 that is something we need. We can go ahead  
16 and open the record. I think we can still  
17 move forward on final action unless somebody  
18 objects. The general consensus the record is  
19 open? Okay.

20 Are you finished, Ms. Schellin?  
21 Okay.

22 Commissioners, we have Exhibit 21

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and 22. Okay. We have Exhibit 21 and I'm not  
2 sure who all other than Commissioner Selfridge  
3 who all asked for what because he is actually  
4 specifically named in here. Make sure that we  
5 have a confidence level of things we asked  
6 for.

7 I know a lot of stuff we vetted  
8 through proposed action but I want to make  
9 sure the fine tuning that we have the  
10 applicant has submitted revised building  
11 materials and color palette. I believe that  
12 was Commissioner May. Was Commissioner May on  
13 this case?

14 MS. SCHELLIN: He was and his  
15 comment was expressed to the applicant, "My  
16 appreciation for their submission of  
17 construction details which allayed my  
18 concerns."

19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Great.  
20 Okay. Details on panel installation. If it  
21 moves you or you don't feel like you got what  
22 you needed, I'm going to just keep moving.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Depiction of the elevator mechanical  
2 penthouse.

3 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Looks good.

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sufficiency of  
5 proposed number of parking spaces.

6 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: I asked for  
7 that and I think it proves the point that a  
8 hostel use doesn't need or require too many  
9 parking spaces. It may well be over-parked  
10 for the number of parking spaces they are  
11 offering.

12 COMMISSIONER SELFRIDGE: I would  
13 raise that issue as well, Mr. Chairman. I'm  
14 certainly certified with the information  
15 provided.

16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Good.  
17 Anything else? Any other comments? I think  
18 behind tabs A, B, and C you can see some of  
19 the changes that were done to some of the  
20 architectural issues which we asked for. All  
21 right. Well, in that case I would move  
22 approval of Zoning Commission Case No. 10-12,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Tiber Creek Associates - CG Overlay Review at  
2 Square 601 and ask for a second.

3 COMMISSIONER SELFRIDGE: Second.

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and  
5 seconded twice, moved and properly seconded.  
6 Any further discussion? All those in favor,  
7 aye.

8 ALL: Aye.

9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any  
10 opposition. Also I know we have an absentee  
11 ballot.

12 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, we do. The  
13 vote would be five to zero to zero to approve  
14 final action in Zoning Commission Case No. 10-  
15 12, Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner  
16 Selfridge seconding, Commissioners Turnbull  
17 and Schlater in support, Commissioner May in  
18 support by absentee ballot.

19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next let's  
20 move into proposed action, Zoning Commission  
21 Case No. 10-03 (Parcel Seven Associates --  
22 Consolidated PUD @ Square 912). Ms. Schellin.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. In this case  
2 the applicant has provided the information  
3 that the Commission requested. The Brants  
4 responded at Exhibit 46 and the ANC-6A  
5 responded at Exhibit 47. Commissioner May did  
6 read the record on this case so he is ready to  
7 participate.

8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner May,  
9 let's start off with him. Did he make any  
10 comments, Ms. Schellin?

11 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May did  
12 want to communicate to the applicant his  
13 apologies that he could not attend the meeting  
14 after missing the hearing as well because he  
15 thinks it's a very important project but  
16 unfortunately due to a sudden family matter he  
17 could not be here. That was his comments and  
18 we'll save his vote for when the time comes.

19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you,  
20 Ms. Schellin.

21 Let's open it up. Commissioners,  
22 we have Exhibit 47, 45. Not in numerical

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 order but we have a number of exhibits, 46, 47  
2 -- 45, 46, 47 that have come in. Let's peruse  
3 them and see. Let's open it up for  
4 deliberations.

5 Vice Chairman Schlater.

6 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Mr. Chairman,  
7 either we could just do it one issue at a  
8 time. I think one of the issues with the  
9 physical planning of the site was specifically  
10 related to the parking garage and the loading  
11 and its close relation to the adjacent  
12 townhome.

13 We have a few options that have  
14 been proffered, that have been put forward by  
15 the applicant and we should probably go  
16 through them one by one. I guess from my  
17 perspective I've looked at these options. The  
18 applicant has moved the building in order to  
19 create a buffer space between the townhome and  
20 the alley and also has agreed to put up  
21 landscaping and bollards to help protect that  
22 building against cars and trucks.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           And has also offered a change to  
2 the parking and loading design. Actually, two  
3 options. One is to basically just have retail  
4 parking ramp come in off the alley at the  
5 townhouse and then to have an exit ramp  
6 halfway down the alley which I think is a  
7 pretty good solution to the problem. It  
8 should lessen the traffic going by the house  
9 at least.

10           The other option is one that the  
11 applicant seems to support and it would seem  
12 to be a little bit better for the existing  
13 townhome but may be opposed by DDOT which is  
14 to have the retail ramp come in off of 8th  
15 Street and then exit halfway down the alley.

16           Personally I think I would support  
17 either option one or option two. I also think  
18 that this option of having an exit ramp  
19 halfway down the alley might beg the question  
20 why can't you have an entrance to the retail  
21 parking halfway down the alley. That might be  
22 something worth looking at. On the whole I

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 think we've made a little bit of progress on  
2 this issue.

3           Although if you read the Brants'  
4 response it would seem that they are strongly  
5 in favor of a third option which I know DDOT  
6 is strongly opposed to which is to have  
7 loading off of 8th Street at the H and 9th  
8 intersection.

9           CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other comments?  
10 Mr. Turnbull.

11           COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair,  
12 I would agree with Commissioner Schlater. I  
13 think the applicant listened to not only our  
14 concerns but the concerns of the Brants. I  
15 think putting in the five-foot -- pushing  
16 their project back into their site and  
17 allowing five feet at the side of the Brants'  
18 residence with the bollards was a tremendous  
19 improvement.

20           I think it really shows their  
21 concern. I don't know whether on their option  
22 two whether the two curb cuts could be made a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 one curb cut and DDOT would approve that. I'm  
2 not sure. I mean, you could stripe it.

3 Commissioner Schlater brings up another  
4 good point of the other option which is  
5 looking at an entry ramp and an exit ramp in  
6 the alley further down if that is possible. I  
7 mean, I'm just talking out loud here. That is  
8 an option which we haven't thought about  
9 before.

10 It hasn't come up and hasn't been  
11 discussed before but it could be something  
12 that could be on the table for the applicant  
13 to look at. That, again, would help decrease  
14 some of the in and out traffic or reduce right  
15 at the Brants' residence there.

16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So I guess,  
17 Commissioners, if I understand we still are  
18 open to both options. The third option which  
19 the Brants have mentioned, we probably need --  
20 I don't know if we can move forward with that  
21 one but I think we are open to the options,  
22 specifically because when I looked at it the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 scale of the building adjacent to the rural  
2 homes and everything has been scaled back, as  
3 has already been stated.

4 I just think they have made great  
5 strides to accommodate some of the issues that  
6 were raised at the hearing. That's kind of  
7 where I fall down on it. I think before final  
8 action maybe we can leave it open to those two  
9 options for them to look at and maybe we leave  
10 the flexibility for them to either look at  
11 that option one or option two unless we have a  
12 preference. Maybe they can come back and  
13 narrow the scope before we do final action. I  
14 don't know but I think we can leave it out  
15 there. Any comments on that or questions?  
16 Okay.

17 Let's look at the phasing of the  
18 PUD. I think the applicant has asked for two  
19 phases now. I didn't make an A in math so I  
20 don't know whether -- sometimes, you know, I  
21 think something is going to happen in five  
22 years and it ends up being 17 or 20. I'm not

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 sure exactly what the time extension is on the  
2 phasing but I'll open it up and maybe one of  
3 my colleagues has calculated the longevity  
4 with the permit process and the two years with  
5 the PUD and everything else for the first  
6 phase. Let's open it up.

7 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Mr. Chairman,  
8 I think this is a question not specific to  
9 this applicant in terms of what they have  
10 asked for because others have come before here  
11 and asked for similar things. The question is  
12 whether on these phased projects we're just  
13 going to leave a blanket approval out there  
14 such that you can have a project that  
15 conceivably won't be fully constructed for up  
16 to 10 years, which is what I think.

17 If you do the math on what's been  
18 proposed, you've got a 10-year window to  
19 complete the entirety of the project. You've  
20 got two years to get to your first phrase  
21 building permit, a year after that to start  
22 construction.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           It would probably take about two  
2 years to construct the project and then two  
3 years after that to file for a building permit  
4 for phase two and then a year after that for a  
5 construction start. It just could be a long  
6 time. I know a lot of people are eager to see  
7 this happen.

8           I know that the standard is that  
9 you've got a project. You're supposed to get  
10 two years until you've got your building  
11 permits and then a year after that until  
12 construction starts. If we approve this, it's  
13 a significant deviation from what the standard  
14 operating procedure is.

15           Maybe we need to consider just  
16 giving everybody 10 years to build a building.

17           I don't know but I think it's something for  
18 us to consider and grapple with. To me,  
19 particularly for a two-phased project, it  
20 feels like a long time.

21           COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I know your  
22 concern and I would agree with you. I

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 wouldn't want to start stretching these out.  
2 My only concern, I guess, or the only caveat  
3 is looking at market conditions is that  
4 something that is making this -- normally if  
5 we had a more healthy real estate market  
6 going, building market, things might be going  
7 at a lot faster pace but does this then  
8 deserve the like.

9 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: I think that  
10 when we consider extensions to timelines,  
11 which we do reasonably and we have standards  
12 for those extensions, market conditions is one  
13 of the reasons most often given for that  
14 extension. We don't always give extensions  
15 because sometimes these PUDs get stale. I  
16 don't think this one would. It's a pretty  
17 good project but it's something to consider.

18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I would disagree to  
19 some point. With this particular case, to me  
20 I would rather handle that on a case-by-case.  
21 I don't necessarily agree with a blanket  
22 agreement of 10 years or a blanket thing of

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 all of them being 10 years. I think this is  
2 unique. When I first got on the Commission  
3 they might come down here and say it but you  
4 didn't think that was the case because it  
5 actually wasn't. This developed. I  
6 think the applicant on page 3 summarizes it  
7 best, "Approval of proposed condition will  
8 enable the applicant to move forward with the  
9 project as expeditiously as possible while  
10 also providing flexibility given current  
11 market conditions." Those three words are a  
12 lot of what we're hearing now, "current market  
13 conditions," on and on. I can't dispute that.

14 I can't dispute that but maybe some years ago  
15 that was disputable.

16 For this specific case, yes, we may  
17 be able to continue it for this specific case  
18 but five years from now, Vice Chairman, when  
19 you all are looking at these things, or when  
20 the Zoning Commission is looking at these  
21 things, then that might not be applicable at  
22 that time. We just need to still keep case-

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 by-case I believe.

2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I don't  
3 think we're setting any precedence here. I  
4 don't think that's the intention to set a  
5 precedent for 10 years. I think you're right.

6 It will always have to be looked at  
7 case-by-case, as you said, as we get into this  
8 financial applicability and how you review  
9 this is going to be one of those items.  
10 Maybe, like you said, three years from now we  
11 are going to look and if someone wants 10  
12 years we're going to say no, we don't think we  
13 can give that.

14 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: I think we  
15 should keep doing it on a case-by-case basis.

16 I think people will be disappointed if they  
17 see stuff not -- I think everybody can say  
18 whether it's residential, office, retail or  
19 any sort of development that market conditions  
20 are going to determine the finding. I think  
21 that's true.

22 In our regulations we have the two

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 years plus one year window so we are being  
2 asked to deviate from it in this case. I just  
3 don't want it thrown in our face on the next  
4 couple of projects, "Just give us eight, 10  
5 years to build the project," because that's  
6 not the context in which we want to be  
7 reviewing these projects. We don't want  
8 people coming here with hypothetical projects  
9 that might occur within 10 years. We want  
10 projects that are ready to go and that are  
11 going to go.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think we disagree  
13 with that because here's the other thing. If  
14 we go ahead and approve it under our normal  
15 time frame, they will be back for a time  
16 extension anyway. They are coming back for a  
17 time extension anyway so it might save us one  
18 hearing because --

19 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: It might also  
20 give us the opportunity to look at the project  
21 and see whether it's still relevant in that  
22 context. I know there have been times when we

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 haven't approved an extension. In this case  
2 I'm willing to go with the majority on this  
3 one.

4 I just wanted to raise it not in  
5 the context of Parcel Seven Associates because  
6 I don't think they're asking for something  
7 unreasonable. It's just a question for the  
8 Commission to consider and how are we going to  
9 address this issue going forward.

10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I know we  
11 had questions about the -- so we're all in  
12 agreeance on that? I know we had questions  
13 about the traffic circulation. Someone asked  
14 about the trellis. Also the amenities package  
15 which I think now is in one place. I believe  
16 it was in conformance with what the ANC had  
17 asked for.

18 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Can I just  
19 make a comment on the amenities package?

20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sure.

21 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: I think at  
22 the hearing I said I thought the amenities

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 package was light. I don't think anything has  
2 changed from that time. If I'm incorrect,  
3 somebody please tell me but I don't think I  
4 am. I would just want to put on the record  
5 that I don't think that affordable housing  
6 should be listed as an amenity when it's  
7 required by IZ unless I'm mistaken and they  
8 are offering something more than what's  
9 offered by IZ. Maybe OP can clarify that for  
10 me.

11 MS. STEINGASSER: You're correct.  
12 We don't accept IZ when it only meets  
13 standards but where it's being built here it's  
14 beyond. They are meeting the IZ standard at a  
15 higher rate because of the up zoning so it's  
16 more than we would get as a matter of right,  
17 IZ, so we accept it more as a benefit than as  
18 an amenity.

19 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Because we're  
20 giving more density, we're going to get a  
21 little bit more affordable housing out of it,  
22 eight percent for every extra foot that we

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 give them.

2           The other thing I would say is  
3 their commitment to go LEED Silver -- is that  
4 correct? -- to seek the equivalent of a LEED  
5 Silver building is pretty much equivalent to  
6 what is already required by the Green Building  
7 Act. Is that correct? Is there a lot of  
8 space between those two requirements?

9           MS. STEINGASSER: I don't have that  
10 answer for you. I'm sorry.

11           VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: From my  
12 experience there is not a lot of space so I  
13 don't know that they are going far beyond  
14 that. What I come up with is it's a very nice  
15 project that everybody wants to see get done.

16           I think the proffer of the public  
17 space improvements some of which would have  
18 had to be done anyway as a matter-of-right  
19 project but the public art is a nice thing.  
20 Again, it's nothing against this applicant  
21 because they've gone before the community and  
22 they're worked hard and they've got an

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 agreement and the community said this is a  
2 good benefit package.

3 I would just say we all need to get  
4 our heads around are we getting as much out of  
5 these PUDs as we should be when applying the  
6 tests comparing the bonus density that you're  
7 giving and the proffered benefits and  
8 amenities. I could be convinced otherwise but  
9 I still think it's light.

10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I would just  
11 expound on that and say that I like to see  
12 amenities that last sort of like the projects.

13 The projects have been in those neighborhoods  
14 for 30 years. When you look at some things  
15 like \$20,000 to a micro loan and all that, you  
16 know, there was one specific case we did.

17 I'm saying this so the applicant  
18 will know next time and the community also  
19 understands. I see we have one of the  
20 commissioners in the audience. The thing is  
21 those projects are there for 30 years and they  
22 are sustainable amenities that may solve Vice

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Chairman Schlater's issue with the package  
2 being light that will sustain sometime the  
3 life of the projects, believe it or not.

4 I don't want to start naming  
5 anything but we had one specific case. I  
6 don't know if you remember, Vice Chairman, but  
7 just that one amenity alone took care of that  
8 PUD requirement. It was a great amenity. The  
9 caveat is if it's ever built, then it will  
10 sustain just like the projects.

11 That's kind of where I am. I've  
12 been following that closely. I'm not sure  
13 where they are with that but I'm hoping it  
14 happens because we wouldn't be able to come  
15 out here and say it's light. My next question  
16 to you, Vice Chairman, is that light enough to  
17 the point is that a show stopper or would you  
18 like to see it revisited before final action?

19 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: I think it's  
20 one of those things that it's fundamentally up  
21 to the Commissioners to decide whether or not  
22 the equation has been met. You know, are

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 there enough benefits and amenities being  
2 offered.

3 I'm relatively new to this process  
4 but I just want to make sure we have the  
5 discipline of going through it each time and  
6 really evaluating what are the amenities and  
7 benefits being offered and do we find them to  
8 be sufficient because sometimes good projects  
9 come before us.

10 We just look at them and say, "That  
11 looks awesome and I would like to see it  
12 built," which is the case with this project  
13 because I think it will be a good project for  
14 H Street and I want to see it happen.

15 The question is are we setting a  
16 precedent in terms of the amenities packages  
17 that we are accepting that we're going to get  
18 shortchanged in the future. I guess the  
19 question I would have is what do the other  
20 commissioners think about that.

21 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I guess  
22 part of the problem we depend upon the ANC to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 do a lot of that community ground work and  
2 helping to set up the needs for the community  
3 and what they see as what they are willing to  
4 accept to let a development be built as a PUD.

5 I know where you're coming from on  
6 this. I would agree with you. I guess how  
7 many times do you ask the ANC, "What other  
8 needs do you have? Do you feel that what  
9 you're getting from the applicant meets the  
10 need of what you're getting in for?" It's a  
11 tough call.

12 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: I will say  
13 that I've read the ANC letter. The ANC  
14 reiterated its support for the project and it  
15 said the proposed community amenities package,  
16 which our ANC views as substantial, and this  
17 package was the result of many months of  
18 negotiation between the developer and the  
19 community so I give the developer and the  
20 community a lot of credit for sitting down and  
21 hammering that out. Are we going to be  
22 deferring to those community benefits packages

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 in the future to determine exactly what  
2 amenities are being provided?

3 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well,  
4 that's a good point. I think we have at times  
5 looked at different similar projects, who's  
6 given what, but they're not always comparable.

7 Different neighborhoods depending upon where  
8 it is and where you are there's more of --  
9 there's different needs so that is a tough  
10 question to answer.

11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Again -- I'm sorry.  
12 Did you finish?

13 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes.

14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think when I look  
15 at Exhibit 47, which is the letter from ANC,  
16 and I look back at the notes that we have and  
17 from testimony it talked about they worked  
18 together with the ANC for three years. I  
19 don't want to be a Zoning Commission to undo  
20 three years worth of work.

21 I think it's a good healthy  
22 discussion. I know one of the Commissioners

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 is in the audience and hopefully that will  
2 taken back to the next project. He's looking  
3 for something that's going to sustain. These  
4 things, as Mr. Turnbull said, the need. This  
5 is probably the need in this case but there  
6 are some things that we want to see that is  
7 going to last the life of the project. That's  
8 for what it's worth.

9           When I look at far east, far  
10 northeast, and I look at some of these  
11 organizations I'm very familiar with. I've  
12 done work with them in the past. Not recently  
13 but way in the past but I look and see some of  
14 the benefits. I'm sure they have negotiated  
15 that in those three years. I don't want to be  
16 one to undo what they've done in three years.

17           Vice Chairman.

18           VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: I have a  
19 proposal which is I actually think the  
20 discussion would benefit from the input of  
21 Commissioner May. I would be in support of  
22 taking proposed action tonight and then having

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 a final discussion on benefits and amenities  
2 at final action. Hopefully Commissioner May  
3 and I and everybody else will be there and we  
4 can hash it out then.

5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't think  
6 anybody can quarrel with that.

7 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I would be  
8 amenable to that.

9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. We'll do  
10 proposed action and then we'll have the same  
11 discussion. Let me ask you this, Vice Chair,  
12 and Mr. Turnbull. Do we want to also make  
13 sure -- I know it's been three years worth of  
14 work.

15 Do we want the applicant to maybe  
16 talk again with the ANC? Are we encouraging  
17 that? We can't mandate it. Are we  
18 encouraging that or do we just want to deal  
19 with the face of what we have here in front of  
20 us?

21 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: I think  
22 they've done good work with ANC and I wouldn't

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 want to have to send them back to the ANC. I  
2 think the job is really up to us as to whether  
3 these amenities that have been listed are  
4 commensurate with the additional density  
5 that's being granted and the other relief that  
6 is being granted. I would not recommend they  
7 go back to the ANC.

8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anything  
9 else, Commissioners?

10 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, I  
11 know Commissioner May is not totally pleased  
12 with the architecture. I think he would --

13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's a surprise.

14 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I think he  
15 would prefer actually the same architect who  
16 presented a design to us on Monday night. I  
17 think he prefers that type of project with the  
18 architecture being more uniform and consistent  
19 and deviations within that one style.

20 I think there is a place for  
21 everything and I think most of us felt that  
22 this was acceptable. I think they had made

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 changes along the way. I think we looked for  
2 some issues. I mean, we didn't look for  
3 issues but we found some issues with the  
4 design and I think they have accommodated  
5 that.

6 I don't think Commissioner May is  
7 looking to change anything. I think he would  
8 have preferred something a little bit more  
9 contemporary. I'm amenable to your other  
10 suggestion, Commissioner Schlater, Vice Chair,  
11 setting it down proposed and then having  
12 Commissioner May comment.

13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So let me make sure  
14 I understand. Also did you want to go back  
15 and look at the architecture, too?

16 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No. I'm  
17 throwing it out that one of the items when we  
18 looked at the design standpoint I know they  
19 made a change on the trellis for us. I think  
20 they have tried to ameliorate some of our  
21 other concerns along the way.

22 I think the only question that I'm

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 talking about is the architecture. I think  
2 you brought it up, Commissioner Schlater. Are  
3 we looking at them to investigate another  
4 entrance to the parking garage from the alley?

5 Down further?

6 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: I think  
7 ultimately I support the flexibility to find  
8 the solution that works best of loading either  
9 option one or option two or some variant of  
10 it. I think it's a suggestion that they may  
11 want to look at it. If they come back and  
12 that seems to be preferable, do they come back  
13 with that for a final action.

14 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. I  
15 think option one and option two definitely are  
16 an improvement of the original that we had.

17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me ask this  
18 question. Are we satisfied with the scale  
19 back? I looked at my notes and I see where we  
20 were really concerned about the protection of  
21 the Brants' house. Are we satisfied with the  
22 scaling back of the project that those

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 protection measures are in place? I mean from  
2 a design standpoint, architectural standpoint.

3 I'm kind of leading to you, Mr. Turnbull.

4 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, I  
5 guess you get to the place how many feet can  
6 the applicant give up on this project to make  
7 it that safe. I mean, I think the five feet  
8 that they've added is something that they have  
9 tried to accommodate.

10 I'm sure the Brants would like to  
11 be 100 feet. The Brants have a very real  
12 concern. They are the only house right next  
13 to the project. They are adjacent. They are  
14 going to feel the brunt of all the traffic,  
15 all the vibrations and everything else.

16 At some point the applicant has got  
17 to deal with the construction remitigation  
18 plan that's going to address the concerns of  
19 the neighbors and how they are going to build  
20 this thing without causing damage to any of  
21 the nearby properties. That's out there  
22 still.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           CHAIRMAN HOOD: I am inclined since  
2 we are already asking them, and I know it's  
3 not favorable, but I think this is a our job  
4 to do this. At least the way I feel I am  
5 inclined to ask the applicant to revisit that  
6 and see what else we can do. I know they have  
7 done a lot to scale back the project. I  
8 understand all that.

9           Since we're already going to be  
10 looking at the amenities factors, I'm just  
11 going to ask the applicant -- it's not a show  
12 stopper for me tonight. It's not one for me  
13 tonight. It probably won't be one for me  
14 previously but I want us to look at that.

15           I don't know. The jury is still  
16 out for me about looking at the Brants' house.

17           I'm looking here at my notes. There was a  
18 lot of concern. I specifically asked the  
19 architect to treat that as though it was their  
20 own home.

21           I specifically remember asking  
22 that. I'm going to ask that unless my

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 colleagues object and nobody else feels like I  
2 do. I would like for them to look at that a  
3 little more and see if maybe we could come up  
4 with a little more of a resolution.

5 Now, are we asking the applicant to  
6 do something with the amenities package or  
7 we're just going to deal with that with  
8 Commissioner May? We're dealing with that  
9 with Commissioner May. Okay. I'm just going  
10 to ask that. I'm requesting that. I'm just  
11 going to request that and leave it at that.  
12 Okay. Anything else?

13 Commissioner Turnbull.

14 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I think  
15 just going down a list of things to look at I  
16 know in A12 -- I think this was Commissioner's  
17 May issue was to look at the roof penthouses.  
18 We had two penthouses that were actually --  
19 no, we have three which are all of varying  
20 heights.

21 Commissioner May was concerned  
22 about their overall look. The architect at

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the time talked about banding, trying to add  
2 an additional architectural feature to the  
3 facade of them to try to tie the heights  
4 together and yet allow them to be at different  
5 heights. In their drawing A12 in this Exhibit  
6 No. 45 it shows that banding.

7 I don't know if Commissioner May  
8 commented on anything in his notes to us but I  
9 have no objection to this. What the hell is  
10 one? Brick with masonry accents. I'm not  
11 sure what the picture frame type ornament and  
12 ornamental work is on there but I have no  
13 wishes. I can live with it. Again, I don't  
14 know what his comments would be but I have no  
15 objections to it.

16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Then the last thing  
17 is the trellis. Somebody obviously brought up  
18 the trellis.

19 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: That guy  
20 Turnbull.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I thought it was  
22 Mr. Parson but he wasn't here so maybe it was

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 you, Mr. Turnbull.

2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'm okay  
3 with that.

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anything else?  
5 Okay. So we will govern ourselves accordingly  
6 and grapple with the amenities issue with  
7 inclusion of Commissioner May. I'm asking  
8 that we look again at the Brants' house.  
9 Let's see if we can push a little more. All  
10 I'm doing is requesting.

11 Anything else? Am I leaving  
12 anything out? All right. With that I would  
13 approve Zoning Commission Case No. 10-03 with  
14 the discussion as discussed, deliberated upon  
15 with some particular things being looked at,  
16 the Brants' house and see if we can push on it  
17 a little more to help preserve and protect the  
18 safety of that home.

19 And also further deliberations  
20 before final will be conducted with  
21 Commissioner May at the time before final and  
22 ask for a second. If I don't get a second, we

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 won't have to worry about it.

2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.

3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and  
4 properly seconded. Any further discussion?  
5 All those in favor aye.

6 ALL: Aye.

7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any  
8 opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you record the  
9 vote.

10 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. We do have an  
11 absentee ballot from Commissioner May and he  
12 did state that he is open to receiving further  
13 information before final action. We do have a  
14 procedural order that the Commission has  
15 issued so we can pass that out this evening  
16 for the applicant. If they want to come up,  
17 I'll give them a copy before they leave.

18 The staff would record the vote  
19 four to zero to one to approve proposed action  
20 in Zoning Commission Case 10-03, Commissioner  
21 Hood moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding,  
22 Commissioner Schlater in support, Commissioner

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 May in support by absentee ballot,  
2 Commissioner Selfridge not voting having not  
3 participated.

4 Chairman Hood, could we go ahead  
5 and set a date for those additional filings if  
6 the applicant chooses to make a submission by  
7 October 19th and allow the party, which is  
8 only the ANC, to file a response by October  
9 26th?

10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Schellin, let  
11 me ask you, do we know about when we'll  
12 probably be taking this up for final?

13 MS. SCHELLIN: Not until November.

14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Our first meeting  
15 in November?

16 MS. SCHELLIN: The eight.

17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: What we really want  
18 to make sure is that Commissioner May is here  
19 so we can proceed with what the Vice Chairman  
20 requested.

21 MS. SCHELLIN: He will be.

22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Okay. Anything else, Ms. Schellin, on this  
2 case?

3 MS. SCHELLIN: That's it.

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Under  
5 Hearing Action.

6 Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

7 Under Hearing Action our last case.

8 I think it's our last discussion for this  
9 evening. Hearing Action, Zoning Commission  
10 Case No. 10-22 (Office of Planning - Map &  
11 Text Amendment to 350.4 to Allow the Expansion  
12 of an Ice Rink & Construction of a Youth  
13 Baseball Academy in Ft. Dupont Park).

14 Ms. Jackson.

15 MS. JACKSON: Good evening, Chair,  
16 and members of the Commission. For the record  
17 my name is Arlova Jackson with the Office of  
18 Planning. The map and text amendments before  
19 you are being requested by the Office of  
20 Planning to allow the expansion of an ice  
21 arena and construction of a youth baseball  
22 academy in Ft. Dupont Park.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           The subject property consist of a  
2 15 acre unzoned parcel of land along the  
3 northern border of Ft. Dupont Park in  
4 Southeast Washington. The site which was  
5 recently approved for jurisdictional transfer  
6 from the National Park Service to the District  
7 of Columbia is roughly bounded by Ely Place on  
8 the north, Minnesota Avenue on the west, and  
9 Ridge Road on the east.

10           The adjacent area to the south of  
11 the site is occupied by National Park Service  
12 maintenance facilities and park land. The  
13 site is currently used for recreational  
14 purposes and is improved with the Ft. Dupont  
15 ice arena, baseball and multi-purpose fields,  
16 basketball and tennis courts, and an open  
17 parking lot.

18           The proposed use of the site would  
19 continue to be for public recreation including  
20 an expansion of the ice arena and construction  
21 of a new youth baseball academy. The proposed  
22 academy, which would serve youth aged seven to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 18, would operate year round and offer after-  
2 school programs and academic tutoring in  
3 addition to organized team sports.

4 The proposed map amendment would  
5 rezone the site to be wholly within the R-5-A  
6 zone. The proposed text amendment to the R-5  
7 zone would expand allowed nonresidential uses  
8 to include additional recreational uses  
9 including ice rinks and athletic facilities as  
10 a matter of right in order to accommodate the  
11 anticipated youth baseball academy and  
12 expanded ice arena.

13 These recreational facilities would  
14 be limited to locations that are operated on  
15 District or federal lands approved for  
16 jurisdictional transfer.

17 Finally the proposed text includes  
18 operational limitations for things like  
19 signage, lighting, and scoreboards so as not  
20 to negatively impact surrounding parkland or  
21 residential uses. Immediately adjacent  
22 properties to the north, west, and east is

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 zoned R-5-A.

2 The comprehensive plan designates  
3 this area for parks, recreation, and open  
4 space. OP finds the proposed map and text  
5 changes are not inconsistent with the  
6 comprehensive plan and support policy  
7 objectives found in the parks, recreation,  
8 open space as well as the far northeast and  
9 southeast elements which encourage the  
10 provision of youth recreation services on  
11 National Park Service land and expand outdoor  
12 recreational activities in this area.

13 Thank you and I'll take any  
14 questions you have at this time.

15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms.  
16 Jackson.

17 Commissioners, do you have any  
18 questions? Vice Chairman.

19 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: I have a  
20 couple questions. First one is just  
21 informational. It has to do with Exhibit 1 on  
22 the OP report. It's got what looks like

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 overlaid on Ft. Dupont Park a subdivision  
2 plan. Where did that come from? I'm just  
3 curious as to what we're looking at there.

4 MS. JACKSON: That's the 15 acre  
5 parcel proposed for transfer and that's my  
6 estimation of the boundary. I used the NCPC  
7 report on the transfer as a guide and I just  
8 drew it in.

9 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: So the  
10 project site is overlaid on some light brown  
11 squares on that map that look like a townhouse  
12 plan or something like that.

13 MS. JACKSON: Those are the lot  
14 lines from our GIS for tax and record lots.

15 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: It looks like  
16 there was a planned subdivision on that site.

17 MS. JACKSON: There had been a  
18 planned subdivision there. The Park Service  
19 bought this property out of private ownership  
20 to recapture the fort site.

21 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Very  
22 interesting. Is there a plan for the baseball

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 academy site? Are there plans to look at?

2 MS. JACKSON: Not yet.

3 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Does the text  
4 that you proposed, which basically makes a  
5 baseball academy matter of right within this  
6 zone, does it allow them to build -- what is  
7 the allowable height?

8 MS. JACKSON: For R-5-A 40 feet for  
9 three stories. I think the proposed as is  
10 described in the transfer, the proposal,  
11 although we don't have plans, is for a two-  
12 story building for the academy.

13 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Is it  
14 possible to get some more information on that?

15 One of the things is we get a little  
16 concerned when we just remap basically a site  
17 and we don't know what's going to go on there.

18 All these scary thoughts start popping in  
19 your head about what could be built there and  
20 how ugly it could be. It sounds like an  
21 excellent project so I don't want to say that  
22 -- you're just worried about all the different

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 possibilities.

2 MS. STEINGASSER: We're comfortable  
3 with it since the National Park Service felt  
4 comfortable with it to transfer it over.  
5 There was a great deal of conversation between  
6 them and NCPC and the transfer. There are no  
7 drawings. There's no sketches. We don't have  
8 anything. It would be months before it would  
9 get back to you.

10 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Is this the  
11 only piece of property looking to be  
12 transferred?

13 MS. JACKSON: Yes.

14 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: It's an  
15 unusual -- what decided the shape of this?  
16 I'm looking at the area in Exhibit No. 2 and I  
17 can see some tree lines.

18 MS. JACKSON: The existing arena is  
19 the area all the way to the east.

20 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.

21 MS. JACKSON: And then it  
22 encompasses existing playing fields and tennis

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 courts.

2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah.

3 MS. JACKSON: The tennis and the  
4 basketball courts will be maintained. The new  
5 structure would be in the location of part of  
6 the playing fields. I know the area to the  
7 south where it jogs are NPS maintenance  
8 facilities so those are carved out. On the  
9 west I think it borders the playing fields for  
10 the school at the corner.

11 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Is this  
12 site going to be accessed by 37th Street S.E.?

13 MS. JACKSON: Ely Place.

14 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Ely Place.

15 MS. JACKSON: That's north.

16 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Just the  
17 one entrance onto the site?

18 MS. JACKSON: That's the only  
19 entrance now. I'm assuming but it's hard to  
20 say without plans. It's kind of tricky over  
21 there. That's the easiest way to get to it  
22 currently.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.  
2 Thank you.

3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm just curious.  
4 What took us so long? Was it waiting on the  
5 transfer of the negotiations with the National  
6 Park Service? I've been hearing about this  
7 for a long time.

8 MS. JACKSON: That's correct. The  
9 negotiations between the Park Service and the  
10 city were extremely long.

11 VICE CHAIR SCHLATER: Maybe we  
12 should ask Commissioner May that question.

13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: That actually was  
14 my intention but I wanted to wait until he got  
15 here. Good. I'm glad to see this. Any other  
16 questions?

17 COMMISSIONER SELFRIDGE: I would  
18 like to add, Mr. Chairman, it's a very  
19 exciting project so I think everybody is  
20 looking forward to seeing this start to move  
21 forward.

22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Selfridge, do you coach baseball?

2 COMMISSIONER SELFRIDGE: I used to  
3 coach baseball actually.

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Do you think you  
5 and I can go out there and be a coach?

6 COMMISSIONER SELFRIDGE: Well, I've  
7 hung up my cleats at this point but I think  
8 you're still ready to go.

9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I was just joking.

10 Okay. Any other questions? Okay.

11 Let's set down Zoning Commission Case No. 10-  
12 22, I believe. Is that right, Ms. Schellin?  
13 10-22 and I ask for a second.

14 COMMISSIONER SELFRIDGE: Second.

15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and  
16 properly seconded. Any further discussion?  
17 All those in favor, aye.

18 ALL: Aye.

19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any  
20 opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please  
21 record the vote.

22 MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 vote five to zero to zero to set down Zoning  
2 Commission Case No. 10-22 as a rulemaking  
3 case. Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner  
4 Selfridge seconding, Commissioners Schlater  
5 and Turnbull in support, Commission May in  
6 support by absentee ballot.

7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Schellin, do we  
8 have anything else before us?

9 MS. SCHELLIN: Not unless the  
10 Office of Planning has a status report.

11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Does the Office of  
12 Planning have a status report?

13 MS. STEINGASSER: No, sir.

14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Again, I  
15 want to thank the Office of Zoning staff,  
16 Office of Attorney General, and the Office of  
17 Planning. You guys do a great job in helping  
18 us make sure we have all the information we  
19 need. I wanted to make sure I put that on the  
20 record. This meeting is adjourned.

21 (Whereupon, at 7:49 p.m. the  
22 meeting was adjourned.)

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701